

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,634	08/01/2001		Yoon-Hyoung Cho	247/033	3246
7	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Lee & STER	BA, P.C.		PATEL, ASHOK		
Suite 2000 1101 Wilson B	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22209				2879	
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Communication	09/918,634	CHO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ashok Patel	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construc	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/13/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kawamura et al ('321, of record).

Kawamura et al disclose applicant's claimed CRT (see

Figures 1, 2) including a flat panel (1), a funnel having a neck
and an opening, an electron gun (7), a deflection yoke (9), a

shadow mask (6), wherein the panel includes a flatly configured
outer (front) surface (11) and an inner surface (the surface
that is adjacent to a phosphor layer 10) having a non-spherical,
convexly curved configuration relative to the outer surface.

Since Kawamura et al disclose the CRT including structure as
claimed by applicant, Kawamura et al's CRT would would
inherently satisfy applicant's claimed formula of Y1 \le Y2,
(wherein Y1 represents a vertical distance between the outer
surface and a reflected screen image on a central axis of the

panel, and Y2 represents a vertical distance between the outer surface and the refracted screen image in peripheral areas other than the central axis of the panel).

As to the newly added functional limitation "a glass having a transmission ratio of 60% or more", it is narrative in form and therefore does not carry any patentable weight. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expresses a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. In re fuller, 1929 C.D. 172: 388 O.G. 279.

Consequently, Kawamura anticipate applicant's claims 1 and 3.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Makoto (JP '710, of record).

Makoto et al disclose applicant's claimed CRT (see all drawing Figures) including a flat panel, a funnel having a neck and an opening, an electron gun, a deflection yoke, a shadow mask, wherein the panel includes a flatly configured outer (front) surface (11) and an inner surface (2) having a non-spherical, convexly curved configuration relative to the outer surface which would inherently satisfying applicant's claimed

formula of Y1 \leq Y2, wherein Y1 represents a vertical distance between the outer surface and a reflected screen image on a central axis of the panel, and Y2 represents a vertical distance between the outer surface and the refracted screen image in peripheral areas other than the central axis of the panel. The newly added functional limitation "a glass having a transmission ratio of 60% or more", is again not given a patentable weight for reasons set forth in the previous paragraph.

5. Applicant has repeated same arguments, which was presented earlier on 06/29/2004. The Examiner has indicated in the previous office action (Advisory action, mailed on 08/11/2004) that applicant's arguments are not found persuasive.

Applicants argue that Kawamura et al (or Makoto) reference fails to provide a transmission ratio of 60% or more. This is not found persuasive. Since applicant's claimed structure is not distinguishable from that of Kawamura et al (or Makoto), i.e. since applicant's claimed structure is fully disclosed by Kawamura et al (or Makoto), the CRT of Kawamura et al (or Makoto) would inherently be able to provide a transmission ratio of 60% or more.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,634 Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

Ashok Patel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2879